			IN THE	UNITED STATES	PATENT AND	TRADEMA	RK OFFICE					
In re Application of: Gianni MINGANTI						Art Unit: 1791						
Application No. 10/537,904 371 (c) June 14, 2006						1	Examiner: Christopher T. Schatz					
I. A. Filed: January 23, 2003						,	Washington, D.C.					
For: M	ETHOD AND PLANT	EL TO		Atty/'s Docket: MINGANTI=1								
CONTA	JNERS											
							Confirmation No.: 92	22				
Content	r Service Window, Mail		Date: June 12, 2009									
Honorable Commissioner for Patents U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Raudolph Bulling, 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, Virginia, 22314												
Sir												
Transmi	tted herewith is a REPL	Y TO ELEC	TION OF SPECIE	S OFFICE ACTIO	N in the above-id	entified app	olication.					
	Small Entity Status: App		m small entity status.	See 37 C.F.R §1.2	7.							
	No additional fee is requi The fee has been calculat		helow:									
. ,												
	(Col. 1)		(Col. 2) HIGHEST NO.	(Col. 3) PRESENT	RA	SMALL:	ADDITIONAL	OR	0	THER THAN RATE	ADDITIONAL	
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	EXTRA EQUALS	KA	IE	FEE	OK .		KAIL	FEE	
TOTAL		MINUS	** 20	0	x	26	\$		х	52	s	
INDEP.		MINUS	*** 3	0		10	\$		х	220	s	
FIRST I	RESENTATION OF M	UI.TIPLE D	EP. CLAIM	j	- 19	95	s	OR	+	390 TOTAL	s	
[XX]	If the "Highest Number I The "Highest Number Pr originally filed. Conditional Petition for I If any extension of time I	eviously Pai	d For" (total or indepe Time	endent) is the highes	t number found fr	om the equi		of a prior s	umendi	ment of the mu	mber of claims	
	If any extension of time for a response is required, applicant requests that this be considered a position therefore. [] It is hereby petitioned for an extension of time in accordance with 37 CFR 1.138(a). The appropriate fee required by 37 CFR 1.17 is calculated as shown below:											
	Small Entity Other Than Small Entity											
	Response Filed Within					Response Filed Within						
	[] First -	\$ 65.00			[]	First	- \$ 130.00					
	[] Second -	\$ 245.00 \$ 555.00			[]	Second Third	- \$ 490.00 - \$ 1110.00					
	[] Third - [] Fourth -	\$ 865.00			f 1	Fourth						
	Month After Time Perio				Month		e Period Set					
	[] Less fees (\$) already	paid for month(s) extension of time	onn							
[]	Please charge my Depos	it Account N	lo. 02-4035 in the ame	ount of \$								
[]	Credit card payment auti	orizing pays	nent in the amount of	\$								
l I	A check in the amount or	rs	is attached (c	heck no-).								
	The Commissioner is ber Account No. 02-4035. The check or specific authori throughout the prosecution	his authoriz zation, but is	ation and request is no also intended to inclu	ot limited to paymen ide all fees for the p	t of all fees assoc resentation of ext	iated with the ra claims un	his communication, in ider 37 CFR §1.16 as	icluding at	ny Ext	ension of Time	fee, not covered by	
							BROV	VDY AND	NEI	MARK, P.L.L.	c.	
							Attora	eys for Ap	plicar	d(s)		
										10 1	- ()	

Facsimile: (202) 737-3528
Telephone: (202) 628-5197
SN:]nj
G'\BN\C\CORR\MINGANTI\\PTO\\2009-06-12Coversn.doc (202) 737-3528 (202) 628-5197

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Atty. Docket: MINGANTI=1

In re Application of:)	Conf. No.: 9222
Gianni MINGANTI)	Art Unit: 1791
Appln. No.: 10/537,904)	Examiner: C. T. SCHATZ
I.A. Filed: 01/23/2003) 371(c): 06/14/2006)	Washington, D.C.
FOR: METHOD AND PLANT FOR APPLYING A HEAT-SHRINKABLE) LABEL TO CONTAINERS	June 12, 2009

REPLY TO ELECTION REQUIREMENT OFFICE ACTION

Honorable Commissioner for Patents U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Amendment Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

The applicant is in receipt of the Office Action mailed May 29, 2009, in which a restriction and election of species have been required.

First, the claims under consideration are the IPER claims 1-12, not the original claims 1-24. The PTO file should have a copy of the International Preliminary

Examination Report (IPER) with claims 1-10 to be substituted for original claims 1-24 for examination in this case, and upon entry into the U.S. National Phase on June 7, 2005, applicant submitted a duplicate (courtesy) copy of same,

Appln. No. Reply dated Reply to Office Action of

noting the bottom of page 1 of the transmittal letter. As claims 1-10 are only directed to the labeling plant corresponding to Group II, it is the labeling plant invention which applicant elects.

There are a series of election of species requirements, namely three (3) separate election of species requirements. These are all respectfully traversed for the reasons given below, and applicant hereby respectfully and provisionally elects, without prejudice, as follows: species Al. species Bl. and species Cl.

The claims which read on elected species Al are claims 1-3 and 4-10.

The claims which read on elected species B1 are all of claims 1-10.

The claims which read on elected species C1 are all of claims 1-10.

The three requirements are respectfully traversed on the basis that unity of invention under PCT Rules 13.1 and 13.2 inherently exists by virtue of the generic claims which recite the same or corresponding special technical features, causing the species to be linked so as to form a single general inventive concept. Applicant knows of no prior art which would establish that the common technical features

Appln. No. Reply dated Reply to Office Action of

shared by the species do not make a contribution over the prior art, i.e. applicant submits that the references cited in paragraph 2 on page 2 do not anticipate or make obvious the subject matter of even the broadest claim 1 of the present application.

While applicant understands that the U.S. examiner is not bound by the International Preliminary Examination Report, it is noted that page 2 of such report (page 2 of Form PCT/IPEA/409) states that claims 1-10 possess novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability. The International Examiner's reasoning appears under Item IV of the IPER, reference D2 corresponding to Heyne and reference D4 corresponding with Doherty.

Favorable consideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.

Attorneys for Applicants

By

Sheridan Neimark

Registration No. 20,520

SN:jnj

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197 Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\bn\c\corr\minganti1\pto\2009-06-12RestrictResp.doc